

EDUCATION SCIENCES, TECHNOLOGY, AND INNOVATION: STRATEGIES FOR TRANSFORMING TEACHING AND LEARNING PROCESSES

**CIÊNCIAS DA EDUCAÇÃO, TECNOLOGIA E INOVAÇÃO: ESTRATÉGIAS PARA
A TRANSFORMAÇÃO DOS PROCESSOS DE ENSINO E APRENDIZAGEM**

Ciências Humanas • 26/10/2026

REGISTRO DOI: [10.70773/revistatopicos/792992990](https://doi.org/10.70773/revistatopicos/792992990)

Damião Lins de Souza¹

Emanuelle Grace Kelly Santos de Oliveira²

Filipe Eugênio Rodrigues Silvestre³

Francisca Caliana de Sousa Targino⁴

Francisca Elsa Silva Franklin⁵

Humberto Waldy Milério Filho⁶

Irene Mendes Fontes⁷

Joizia Lima Cavalcante Rêgo⁸

Maria Lucileide de Oliveira⁹

Rickardo Léo Ramos Gomes¹⁰

ABSTRACT

The connection between Educational Sciences, technology, and pedagogical innovation offers opportunities to transform teaching and learning processes by enabling the reassessment of educational practices and the implementation of strategies that address the needs of contemporary education. The general objective of this study was to investigate how the intersection of Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation can transform teaching and learning processes. Methodologically, a qualitative approach was adopted, based on a literature review of twenty-four sources, including scientific articles published in academic journals, book chapters, and books addressing the subject. This material made it possible to identify and interconnect theoretical contributions concerning the foundations of education, educational technologies, and pedagogical innovation. The results showed that the integration of these elements enhances teaching practices that are more participatory, contextualized, and diverse. The analysis indicated that the principles of Educational Sciences guide pedagogical decisions, technological resources expand opportunities for access to and mediation of knowledge, and innovative approaches promote student agency. It was concluded that the purposeful integration of these elements contributes to the improvement of educational practices, teacher training, and the development of further research aimed at enhancing the quality of contemporary education.

Keywords: Education; Teaching technologies; Educational innovation; Teaching and learning.

RESUMO

A conexão entre as Ciências da Educação, a tecnologia e a inovação pedagógica surge como uma oportunidade de transformar os processos de ensino e aprendizagem, permitindo a reavaliação das

práticas educativas e a implementação de estratégias que atendam às necessidades da educação atual. O objetivo geral deste trabalho foi investigar como a intersecção entre Ciências da Educação, tecnologia e inovação pode transformar os processos de ensino e aprendizagem. Metodologicamente, empregou-se uma abordagem qualitativa, embasada em uma revisão da literatura de vinte e quatro fontes, entre artigos científicos publicados em periódicos, capítulos de livros e livros que tratam do assunto. Com o material em questão, foi possível mapear e interligar aportes teóricos sobre os fundamentos da educação, as tecnologias educacionais e a inovação na pedagogia. Os resultados mostraram que a junção desses elementos potencializa práticas de ensino que são mais participativas, contextualizadas e variadas. Constatou-se que os princípios das Ciências da Educação guiam as escolhas pedagógicas, os meios tecnológicos potencializam as oportunidades de acesso e mediação do saber, e as abordagens inovadoras fomentam o protagonismo dos alunos. Chegou-se à conclusão de que a articulação intencional desses elementos favorece o aprimoramento das práticas educativas, a formação de professores e o fomento de novas investigações voltadas para a qualidade da educação atual.

Palavras-chave: Educação; Tecnologias de ensino; Inovação educacional; Ensino e aprendizagem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Educational Sciences provide the theoretical and analytical foundations for examining pedagogical practices, teaching and learning relationships, and changes occurring in school and social contexts. These foundations make it possible to understand educational processes beyond their immediate description.

Understanding education in contemporary society requires examining the dimensions that influence knowledge construction, the organization of teaching, and student participation. In this context, integrating contributions from Educational Sciences with technological resources and pedagogical innovation initiatives has become a relevant field of research, particularly because of the implications of this intersection for the organization and development of educational practices.

The incorporation of technologies into educational environments has expanded opportunities for accessing information, interaction, collaboration, and the development of new teaching strategies. However, the mere presence of technological resources does not guarantee significant improvements in learning outcomes. These results depend on pedagogical planning, teacher mediation, infrastructure conditions, and the extent to which these elements are aligned with students' needs, which vary considerably across educational contexts.

In this regard, pedagogical innovation involves reassessing existing practices and adopting strategies that encourage students to participate actively in knowledge construction. Consequently, integrating Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation is meaningful only when these elements are considered together, taking into account the educational foundations underlying methodological choices and the conditions that effectively enable transformations in teaching and learning.

Based on this context, the following research question was formulated: How can the interconnection between Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation positively contribute to

transforming teaching and learning processes? To address this question, the general objective was to analyze how the integration of Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation contributes to the reconfiguration of teaching and learning processes. The specific objectives were established as follows: to understand how Educational Sciences can transform contemporary educational processes; to assess the role of educational technologies in improving teaching and learning; and to examine which pedagogical innovations promote changes in teaching and learning practices.

To achieve these objectives, the study adopted a qualitative methodology based on a literature review of twenty-four sources related to the subject. The material reviewed comprised scientific articles, book chapters, and books published in academic journals and selected for their relevance to understanding the relationships among Educational Sciences, educational technologies, and pedagogical innovation. This methodological choice enabled the systematization and analysis of theoretical contributions to the research subject, as well as the integration of the concepts examined with the study's objectives.

In addition to this introduction, the article is organized into three further sections. The second presents the theoretical framework, structured around the contributions of Educational Sciences to transforming educational processes, the role of educational technologies in teaching and learning, and pedagogical innovations. The third section details the methodology adopted, explaining the research approach and procedures employed. Finally, the fourth section presents the concluding remarks, summarizing the main

reflections and the study's contributions to understanding the subject investigated.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. Educational Sciences And Transformations In Educational Processes

Transformations in educational processes do not occur in a vacuum. They accompany social, cultural, and technological changes that affect how teaching, learning, and knowledge production take place. In this context, Educational Sciences become relevant by providing a foundation for understanding education as a historical and social practice shaped by the conditions under which it occurs and by the relationships established among teachers, learners, and institutions. Costa (2025) helps situate this discussion within the realm of teaching practice, insofar as this perspective makes it possible to connect teachers' pedagogical choices with the educational aims they seek to achieve. This shifts the discussion away from a purely abstract level and grounds it in the decisions made in everyday practice.

Understanding these changes also requires acknowledging that the quality of teaching is not limited to the content covered in the classroom. It also depends on pedagogical relationships, planning, and learning conditions, dimensions that Simões and Aroeira (2024) bring together by linking teaching practice to the management of educational processes rather than treating each dimension in isolation. In this sense, teaching involves interpreting students' needs, selecting appropriate strategies, and organizing learning

situations that encourage participation and knowledge construction.

The presence of digital technologies introduces new possibilities into this context, while also requiring reflection on their educational purpose. Santos, Jesus, and Souza (2024, p. 15) state that:

The presence of new technologies in education is growing, and in the classroom, they support content delivery through the use of multimedia materials (images, videos, presentations, etc.), which has proven to be positive. Since the new generation of students is ready to work with these new technologies, which play an important role in their learning and acquisition of various forms of knowledge, as they are already part of contemporary society's culture, it can no longer be denied that the future of educational processes is linked to them. Teachers will play an important role in this process, as they will be responsible for mediating pedagogical practice through the use of technologies, as has been happening for some time.

However, the use of digital tools does not, in itself, guarantee a genuine transformation in learning. The outcomes achieved are associated with teacher training, access conditions, and the ways in which these tools are integrated into pedagogical planning. By examining the development of educational technologies and teacher education, Bortolini and Lopes de Lima (2024) provide insights into understanding this relationship. Teachers must assess the relevance of these resources in relation to instructional objectives, ensuring that technological novelty does not take precedence over content and students' educational needs.

In higher education, digital technologies also transform the organization of academic activities and the ways in which individuals interact with knowledge. While Machado (2026) discusses this reconfiguration from Moran's perspective, Moran (2023) addresses digital technologies in the context of active and innovative learning. These contributions make it possible to examine the transition from practices primarily focused on content delivery to approaches that foster inquiry, participation, and intellectual autonomy. However, this transformation requires consistent pedagogical decisions, not merely the availability of devices and platforms.

Artificial intelligence broadens this discussion by introducing new possibilities for supporting educational activities, as well as new challenges for teaching practice. Correia et al. (2026, p. 12) assert that:

The integration of artificial intelligence into education must take place critically and systematically, in alignment with pedagogical and ethical principles and with due consideration for the specific characteristics of each educational context. Investment in public policies that promote teacher training, technological infrastructure, and the reduction of digital inequalities, as well as the strengthening of an educational culture focused on responsible innovation, is imperative. Thus, artificial intelligence can make a significant contribution to improving the quality of education, provided that it is integrated into a human-centered, inclusive approach committed to the comprehensive development of individuals.

The contributions discussed make it possible to systematize the dimensions that connect the foundations of Educational Sciences

with changes in pedagogical practices. Table 1 brings these elements together, highlighting the relationship among educational organization, teacher training, technologies, and the conditions that enable student participation.

Table 1. Dimensions of Educational Sciences Related to the Transformation of Educational Processes

Dimension	Aspects Considered	Relationship to Educational Transformation
Educational foundations	Social, cultural, and historical contexts	Understanding changes in educational processes
Teaching and management	Planning, mediation, and pedagogical organization	Alignment between educational objectives and teaching practices
Educational technologies	Digital resources and multimedia materials	Diversification of teaching and learning strategies
Teacher training	Pedagogical and technological knowledge	Intentional use of educational resources
Active learning	Participation, inquiry, and autonomy	Greater student involvement in knowledge construction
Artificial intelligence	Educational applications and ethical principles	Critical assessment of the possibilities and limitations of use
Digital inclusion	Access, infrastructure, and public policies	Addressing inequalities in learning conditions

Source: Prepared by the authors based on Costa (2025), Simões and Aroeira (2024), Santos, Jesus, and Souza (2024), Bortolini and Lopes

de Lima (2024), Machado (2026), Moran (2023), and Correia et al. (2026).

The dimensions presented in Table 1 demonstrate that the transformation of educational processes involves a level of complexity that extends far beyond the mere introduction of new technological or methodological resources into classrooms. For these changes to occur, pedagogical practices, teaching strategies, and even relationships between educators and students must undergo substantial reassessment. This reflects a broader movement that transforms the dynamics of the school environment. Such changes require effective integration among several aspects, including pedagogical knowledge, specialized professional training, institutional planning, and the establishment of appropriate conditions to ensure adequate access to these resources and opportunities.

In this regard, Educational Sciences become indispensable because they provide a critical framework for reflecting on changes in the educational landscape and guide the implementation of coherent practices that adequately address the requirements and needs of each student. Transformations in education gain greater consistency and credibility when technological and methodological approaches are clearly aligned with educational objectives, when these objectives guide pedagogical practice, and when inclusive learning experiences that consider learners' social contexts are promoted. This approach helps ensure that the perspectives and needs of all participants in the educational process are taken into account, thereby fostering a richer and more comprehensive learning experience for everyone.

2.2. Educational Technologies

The introduction of digital technologies into education has changed the structure of educational activities, the ways in which information is accessed, and the opportunities for interaction between educators and students. However, these changes involve more than replacing printed materials with electronic formats. The central issue is how digital tools can be integrated into pedagogical planning to achieve specific learning objectives. In this regard, educational technologies must be considered in relation to pedagogical practices, student profiles, and the actual circumstances of each educational institution.

The use of these resources, therefore, cannot be arbitrary. Teachers must consider not only whether the resources are available but also whether they are appropriate for the content being taught and the instructional strategies employed. Teacher training helps explain why the effective adoption of educational technologies requires both technical and pedagogical knowledge, making it a key factor in the development and use of these resources (Bortolini; Lopes de Lima, 2024). Santos et al. (2024), in their analysis of the challenges and opportunities associated with implementing technologies in education, also identify teachers' professional practice as an important factor in their use within school environments. Professional training must be aligned with these changes, ensuring that technological decisions consistently serve a clear educational purpose.

Among the ways of applying these resources are methodologies that give students a more active role in knowledge construction. Ferreira and Melo (2025, p. 10) argue that:

Within the context of active learning methodologies, the use of digital technologies enhances approaches such as problem-based learning, project-based learning, the flipped classroom, and blended learning. Tools such as online platforms, educational applications, and collaborative resources provide greater interaction and personalization of learning, allowing students to explore content autonomously. Technology not only broadens access to knowledge but also facilitates the adaptation of content to students' individual needs.

However, the contribution of technologies to learning depends on the connection between the resources employed and the activities proposed. According to Oliveira (2026), educational technologies are tools that enable changes to the traditional teaching model, making it possible to reconsider pedagogical practices beyond the mere presentation of content. Regarding new technologies and pedagogical mediation, Aguiar et al. (2023) provide a basis for investigating how teachers can guide learning experiences. Teachers select the tools, establish participation criteria, and monitor students' progress, since online interaction is not synonymous with pedagogical mediation.

The implementation of information and communication technologies also faces challenges related to infrastructure, proficiency in using digital resources, and working conditions within educational institutions. Teodoro et al. (2024) examine the challenges and opportunities teachers encounter when using these technologies in the classroom, while Viana (2024) addresses integrated management involving emerging technologies in public schools in Manaus. These topics help explain the adoption of technologies at the institutional and organizational levels, since the

continuity of such initiatives depends on planning, professional support, and coordination between management decisions and teaching needs. Purchasing equipment alone does not ensure its integration into educational activities.

Another issue that deserves attention is the conscious use of the internet and the impact that certain usage patterns may have on attention. As Peng et al. (2018, p. 11) emphasize, digital literacy is essential, but they also raise concerns about concentration and cognitive processing in relation to excessive internet use. The authors state:

An important approach to ensuring a balanced use of the internet and digital technologies is to promote digital literacy, helping students develop critical skills for the conscious use of technology. In an increasingly connected society, the ability to use technologies critically and reflectively is one of the most important factors in ensuring beneficial and responsible internet use. Furthermore, studies on the impact of the internet on education indicate that excessive use of technology can lead to a reduced capacity for concentration and impair cognitive skills associated with deep learning (Peng et al., 2018, p. 11).

The discussion of educational technologies must therefore consider both their instructional opportunities and the conditions required for their responsible use. Table 2 summarizes the main dimensions of this discussion, relating the pedagogical possibilities of digital resources to the challenges associated with teacher training, infrastructure, and conscious use.

Table 2. Educational Technologies: Pedagogical Possibilities and Implementation Challenges

Dimension	Possibilities and Aspects Considered	Conditions for Educational Use
Active learning methodologies	Problem-based learning, project-based learning, the flipped classroom, and blended learning	Alignment between digital tools, learning objectives, and student participation
Pedagogical mediation	Teacher guidance, monitoring, and educational interaction	Instructional planning and careful selection of resources
Teacher training	Development of technical and pedagogical knowledge	Continuing professional development and support for teachers
Management and infrastructure	Institutional organization, access to equipment, and digital resources	Planning, maintenance, and appropriate conditions for use
Personalized learning	Diversification of activities and adaptation to students' needs	Pedagogical monitoring and respect for individual differences
Digital literacy	Critical, reflective, and responsible use of the internet	Development of competencies to assess information and use resources consciously
Attention and learning	Reflection on the frequency and purpose of digital device use	Balance between digital activities, pedagogical interaction, and other forms of learning

Source: Prepared by the author based on Bortolini and Lopes de Lima (2024), Santos et al. (2024), Oliveira (2026), Aguiar et al. (2023), Teodoro et al. (2024), Viana (2024), Ferreira and Melo (2025), and Peng et al. (2018).

The dimensions presented in Table 2 demonstrate that the use of educational technologies involves pedagogical decisions, institutional conditions, and responsibilities related to student development. The educational value of a resource depends primarily on the alignment between the resource, the proposed activity, and the intended learning objective, rather than on the number of tools available. When teacher mediation, professional development, and digital literacy initiatives are in place, the integration of technology creates opportunities for more diverse learning experiences and greater student participation. This highlights the need for evaluation that extends beyond implementation. Methodological choices must take into account the observed outcomes, the difficulties encountered during the process, and the specific needs of each educational context.

2.3. Pedagogical Innovation And The Transformation Of Teaching And Learning Practices

Pedagogical innovation begins to make sense only when it effectively changes how knowledge is addressed, the relationships established in the classroom, and the opportunities students have to participate in their own learning process. It does not consist merely of introducing digital resources or replacing a traditional activity with another that only appears more modern. Transformation involves reconsidering instructional choices, recognizing different forms of participation, and connecting school content with students' experiences. This requires teachers to reflect on their own practice and on the purposes of education. By discussing the relationships among teaching, learning, pedagogical practices, and educational innovation, Bordignon and Trevisol (2022) help frame this integration as part of the transformation process.

One factor that complicates this scenario is student diversity, which pedagogical planning does not always adequately accommodate. Scipião, Menezes, and Santos (2023) examine pedagogical innovation from the perspective of learning styles, demonstrating how this topic features in discussions of educational practices. Along the same lines, Ota, Júnior, and Barros (2017, p. 53) point out that “[...] resorting to learning styles demonstrates a concern with establishing profiles, improving practices, and providing pedagogical actions that are connected to students’ preferences and realities.” This consideration can help diversify proposed activities, provided that individual student preferences are not turned into fixed labels, much less limitations on students’ learning capabilities.

Care must be taken when interpreting the results of classifications based on learning styles. Carvalho et al. (2022) examine intelligent methods used to classify these styles and highlight the need for new solutions to predict them. According to the authors, understanding learning styles can serve as a reference for teachers and students, but such data must be interpreted cautiously, taking into account the methods used and the limitations of the classifications. In educational contexts, varying explanations, exercises, and student engagement strategies is far more effective than assuming that each student learns best in a single, unchanging way.

Active learning is another dimension of pedagogical innovation because it moves students away from a passive role and engages them more directly in knowledge construction. As Marques et al. (2021) point out, active learning represents a new educational paradigm characterized by quality, collaboration, engagement, and motivation, making a significant contribution to the teaching and

learning process. This can be achieved, for example, through problem-solving, project development, group work, and the investigation of contextualized situations. In their discussion of digital technologies and pedagogical innovation in basic education, Reis et al. (2026) address digital tools as part of the broader debate on transforming teaching and learning practices.

Implementing these strategies, however, depends on changes that extend beyond what individual teachers can accomplish on their own. Regarding the regulatory framework governing the incorporation of these tools into educational institutions, Mendes, Bastos, and Lopes (2024) provide a relevant basis for analysis through their examination of Brazilian legislation concerning digital technologies in education.

The discussion, therefore, extends beyond the classroom. Pedagogical innovation requires consideration of access conditions, school organization, and the sharing of responsibilities involved in incorporating digital resources. In practice, without planning and appropriate implementation conditions, these initiatives tend to remain isolated experiences, lacking continuity and disconnected from the institution's pedagogical project. Formative assessment is another component of this transformation, as it enables teachers to monitor student development and adjust their instructional interventions throughout the learning process. Silva (2025, p. 15) emphasizes:

Formative assessment can be strengthened through forms, digital portfolios, process records, and feedback on student work. Teachers obtain more frequent information about students' questions and strategies, enabling them to reorganize their interventions. However, automated data do not replace pedagogical interpretation. Quiz results, access time, and the number of attempts are partial indicators and must be considered alongside explanations, student work, and observations. Teachers' digital competence involves knowing how to select relevant evidence and use it ethically.

The integration of instructional diversification, student participation, digital resources, and formative assessment makes it possible to understand pedagogical innovation as an intentional process of reorganizing teaching. To summarize the strategies discussed and their relationship with teaching practice, Table 3 presents dimensions that can guide the analysis of the possibilities and implementation conditions associated with these initiatives.

Table 3. Pedagogical Innovation Strategies and Their Contributions to the Transformation of Teaching and Learning

Strategy	Pedagogical Application	Contribution to Educational Processes
Instructional diversification	Use of different languages, activities, and forms of participation	Expansion of opportunities for student engagement
Attention to individual differences	Consideration of students' preferences, experiences, and needs	Planning of diversified learning experiences
Active learning	Problem-solving, projects, and collaborative	More direct participation in knowledge

	activities	construction
Integration of digital technologies	Use of digital tools aligned with instructional objectives	Diversification of resources and forms of interaction
Formative assessment	Portfolios, process records, and pedagogical feedback	Identification of difficulties and reorganization of teaching interventions
Teachers' digital competence	Critical selection of resources and ethical interpretation of information	Responsible pedagogical use of technologies
Institutional planning	Alignment of innovative strategies with school conditions and guidelines	Continuity and integration of initiatives into the pedagogical project

Source: Prepared by the author based on Bordignon and Trevisol (2022), Scipião, Menezes, and Santos (2023), Carvalho et al. (2022), Ota, Júnior, and Barros (2017), Marques et al. (2021), Reis et al. (2026), Silva (2025), and Mendes, Bastos, and Lopes (2024).

The strategies summarized in Table 3 indicate that pedagogical innovation depends on coherence among educational purposes, proposed activities, and learning monitoring. Methodological diversification can expand opportunities for participation, while formative assessment provides a basis for identifying difficulties and revising instructional decisions. In this process, technology serves as a mediating resource rather than an automatic guarantee of improved outcomes. Transforming teaching and learning practices therefore requires teacher reflection, institutional planning, and continuous evaluation of the experiences developed, taking into

account students' individual characteristics and the actual conditions in which education takes place.

3. METHODOLOGY

The intersection of Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation is a relevant topic for understanding current changes in teaching and learning processes. Investigating these interrelationships requires a systematic approach capable of integrating theoretical contributions, examining different perspectives, and connecting educational foundations, available technologies, and pedagogical innovations. In this regard, establishing scientific procedures consistent with the research objectives supports a more robust analysis and the systematic production of knowledge, making it possible to understand how these factors can improve educational practices.

This study adopts a qualitative approach, which is appropriate for examining educational phenomena that require interpretation, conceptual understanding, and analysis within their contexts. According to Lüdke and André (2021), qualitative methodologies enable the exploration of phenomena in their entirety, taking into account the meanings and interactions present in the contexts under investigation. In scientific research, this perspective makes it possible to examine issues that cannot be understood solely through numerical indicators, prioritizing the analysis of processes, practices, experiences, and social interactions. Its application across different fields of knowledge enables the exploration of the conceptual and contextual dimensions of research subjects, provided that there is a logical connection among the problem

under investigation, the procedures selected, and the interpretation of the data analyzed.

A literature review was adopted as the methodological procedure, drawing on works addressing the research topic and identified through specialized academic and bibliographic sources. A total of 24 research sources were analyzed, comprising scientific articles published in journals, book chapters, and books. These materials were selected to bring together theoretical contributions related to Educational Sciences, educational technologies, and pedagogical innovation, enabling the existing knowledge on the subject to be organized and analyzed. The sources were selected according to their relevance to the research topic and their contribution to clarifying the conceptual and educational aspects under consideration.

Literature reviews play an important role in scientific research by enabling the identification, organization, interpretation, and critical synthesis of knowledge already produced on a given research topic. Sousa, Oliveira, and Alves (2021) discuss the principles and foundations of this type of research, which allows researchers to engage systematically with theoretical works and analyze different contributions to the subject under investigation. Complementarily, Risemberg, Wakin, and Shitsuka (2026) emphasize the role of scientific methodology in both article writing and knowledge organization. In this context, this method enables researchers to identify commonalities, contrast perspectives, and develop evidence-based interpretations, thereby strengthening the theoretical foundation and rigor of academic work.

The qualitative approach combined with a literature review was appropriate for the requirements of this investigation. Addressing a conceptually oriented research problem called for procedures consistent with its nature rather than empirical data collection instruments. Analyzing the 24 selected sources made it possible to examine the relationships among Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation based on the existing scientific literature, connecting theoretical foundations with contributions concerning educational technologies and pedagogical innovation strategies. This synthesis provided a more integrated understanding of the possibilities for transforming teaching and learning processes. The methodological choice is justified primarily by the alignment between the conceptual nature of the research problem and the procedures used to examine it, which provided theoretical support for the discussion developed in this study and maintained consistency with the proposed objectives.

4. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The intersection of Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation constitutes an important field of inquiry for understanding and promoting changes in contemporary teaching and learning processes. Social, cultural, and technological transformations require educational processes to take into account students' needs, teachers' work, the structure of pedagogical practices, and opportunities for using digital resources. Accordingly, this study helped clarify the connections among educational foundations, technologies, and pedagogical innovations, emphasizing the need for intentional integration aligned with educational objectives. The relevance of this research therefore lies in organizing knowledge that supports reflection on educational practices capable of

expanding learning opportunities and addressing the demands of contemporary education.

The study addressed the research question aimed at understanding how the integration of Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation can transform teaching and learning processes. As demonstrated by the analysis, this integration can strengthen more participatory, contextualized, and diversified pedagogical practices, provided that methodological and technological choices are grounded in clearly defined educational objectives.

Likewise, the general objective was achieved by examining the extent to which integrating knowledge from Educational Sciences, educational technologies, and pedagogical innovation contributes to transforming educational processes. The specific objectives were also achieved by examining the educational foundations of contemporary change, analyzing the contributions of technologies to the teaching and learning process, and investigating innovation strategies that may promote the renewal of pedagogical practices.

The first section of the theoretical framework demonstrated that Educational Sciences provide conceptual and methodological foundations for understanding the transformations affecting educational processes, whether social, cultural, cognitive, or institutional, all of which influence learning in some way. This requires recognizing that education extends far beyond content transmission. It involves knowledge construction, the gradual development of autonomy, interactions among individuals, and an acknowledgment that people learn in different ways and do not necessarily follow the same paths. The argument developed in this section was that any educational transformation requires

pedagogical practices grounded in coherent conceptions of learning that connect curricular content with students' actual experiences and the demands of contemporary society.

The second section addressed educational technologies, highlighting a central point: these resources are effective only when accompanied by pedagogical intentionality, teachers who are prepared to use them, and minimum access conditions, since technology alone guarantees no results. Digital platforms, multimedia resources, collaborative tools, and virtual learning environments each expand access to content, diversify teaching strategies, facilitate interaction among participants, and support the monitoring of student learning in different ways. These benefits, however, depend on the use of such resources being planned in accordance with instructional objectives rather than implemented arbitrarily. Technological integration proved more meaningful when connected to instructional planning, teacher mediation, and the creation of learning situations that encourage active student participation. Simply introducing devices and digital tools into schools does not produce these outcomes by itself.

The third section sought to demonstrate that pedagogical innovation cannot be reduced to introducing technology into the classroom, despite this being its most immediate association. Rather, it involves designing and implementing strategies capable of renewing approaches to teaching, learning, and assessment. The literature review indicated that active learning methodologies, project-based learning, problem-solving, collaborative activities, and interdisciplinary approaches offer concrete possibilities for reorganizing educational practice. These approaches share the aim of moving students from a passive position toward greater

intellectual autonomy and the application of knowledge to meaningful situations. Innovation can also emerge from revising methodologies, classroom interaction, and assessment procedures without introducing any new technology. Ultimately, its effectiveness depends on the coherence among the intended learning objectives, the strategies selected, teachers' practices, and students' actual educational needs.

Considered together, the three sections show that Educational Sciences, educational technologies, and pedagogical innovation do not operate in isolation, nor are they interchangeable. Rather, they perform distinct but complementary functions in transforming teaching and learning processes. Theoretical foundations guide teachers' decisions, technological resources expand opportunities for mediation and open new pathways to knowledge, and innovative strategies reshape learners' educational experiences. Educational transformation arises precisely from the integration of theoretical knowledge, planning, intentional pedagogical practice, and continuous assessment, with none of these elements being sufficient on its own. Therefore, the availability of resources does not guarantee quality. What matters is the ability to use them critically and inclusively, in a manner consistent with the purposes of education.

Regarding opportunities for future research, further studies on the intersection of Educational Sciences, technology, and innovation across different educational levels and modalities are recommended, taking into account the specific characteristics of school and academic contexts. Empirical research could investigate the effects of particular teaching strategies on student engagement, autonomy, competencies, and learning outcomes. Studies on initial

and continuing teacher education for the pedagogical integration of technologies, the implementation of innovative practices in contexts with varying levels of infrastructure, and the use of artificial intelligence tools in teaching and learning processes are also relevant. Longitudinal research and comparative studies could clarify how these initiatives develop over time and identify the factors that support their continuity and consolidation within educational institutions.

Therefore, this article represents a meaningful contribution to the research topic by integrating and relating educational foundations, technological capabilities, and pedagogical innovations within a unified perspective on transforming teaching and learning. The framework presented makes it possible to understand that educational transformations do not occur by chance but require evidence-based decisions, pedagogical intentionality, and attention to students' needs, with teachers playing an important role in mediating knowledge and connecting educational experiences. The study also provides a theoretical foundation for teachers, administrators, and researchers seeking to expand their understanding of the intersection of education, technology, and innovation. This foundation may support the planning of context-sensitive pedagogical initiatives and the development of further research into the challenges and possibilities of contemporary education.

REFERENCES

AGUIAR, A. A. R. M.; FERREIRA, L. C.; SAMPAIO, R. A. G.; SOUSA, J. M. de; MARIANO, E. de F. *Novas tecnologias e mediação pedagógica*. Florianópolis: Editora Licuri, 2023. p.33-50.

BORDIGNON, L. H. C.; TREVISOL, M. Teresa Ceron. Ensino, aprendizagem, práticas pedagógicas e inovação educacional: tecendo diálogos. *Educ. Puc.* [online]. 2022, vol.27, e225389. ISSN 2318-0870. <https://doi.org/10.24220/2318-0870v27e2022a5389>.

BORTOLINI, F. de C.; LOPES DE LIMA, J. F. Evolução das tecnologias educacionais e a formação de professores/as no contexto escolar. *Revista Intersaberes*, [S. l.], v. 19, p. e24tl4020, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.22169/revint.v19.e24tl4020>.

CARVALHO, D. G. F. de; SILVA, A. G. L. da; BARROS E SÁ, G. C. .; LIMA, N. C. de A.; NASCIMENTO, S. M. Uma revisão sistemática da literatura sobre a classificação de estilos de aprendizagem utilizando técnicas inteligentes. *Conjecturas*, [S. l.], v. 22, n. 1, p. 1631–1645, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.53660/CONJ-625-505>.

CORREIA, S. G. et al. Inovação e Transformação Digital na Educação: O Papel da Inteligência Artificial na Gestão e no Processo de Ensino e Aprendizagem. *Revista de Gestão e Secretariado – GeSec*, V. 17, N. 1, P. 01-14, 2026. São José dos Pinhais, Paraná, Brasil. ISSN: 2178-9010. <http://doi.org/10.7769/gesec.v17i1.5521>.

COSTA, A. (org.). *Processos educativos na ação docente*. 1. ed. Campo Grande, MS: Editora Inovar, 2025. E-book. ISBN: 978-65-5388-302-4. <https://doi.org/10.36926/editorainovar-978-65-5388-302-4>.

FERREIRA, R. S.; MELO, T. G. dos S. Reflexiones Sobre el uso de las Tecnologías Digitales en la Enseñanza de las Ciencias: Retos y Perspectivas Contemporáneas. *Aracê*, v. 7, n. 10, p. e8950, 15 oct.2025.

LÜDKE, M. L.; ANDRÉ, M. *Pesquisa em educação: abordagens qualitativas*. São Paulo: EPU, 2021.

MACHADO, L. S. Tecnologias Digitais e a Reconfiguração dos Processos de Ensino e Aprendizagem no Ensino Superior na Perspectiva de Moran. *Revista Ibero-Americana de Humanidades, Ciências e Educação*. São Paulo, v. 12, n. 2, fev. 2026. ISSN: 2675-3375. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.51891/rease.v12i2.24272>.

MARQUES, H. R. et al. Inovação no ensino: uma revisão sistemática das metodologias ativas de ensino-aprendizagem. *Avaliação* (Campinas) 26 (03) • Sep-Dec 2021 • <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1414-40772021000300005>.

MENDES, J. de F.; BASTOS, A. M. de M. F.; LOPES, N. M. As tecnologias digitais na educação: uma análise da legislação brasileira. *Revista e-Curriculum*, v. 22, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.23925/1809-3876.2024v22e61532>.

MORAN, J. Tecnologias digitais para uma aprendizagem ativa e inovadora. In: MORAN, J. *A educação que desejamos: novos desafios e como chegar lá*. 5. ed. Campinas: Papirus, 2023.

OLIVEIRA, F. R. de. Tecnologias Educacionais como Instrumento de Transformação do Modelo Tradicional de Ensino. *Recima*. v.7, n.1, 2026. ISSN: 2675-6218. <https://doi.org/10.47820/recima21.v7i1.7118>.

OTA, M. A.; JÚNIOR, C. F. A.; BARROS, D. Estilos de aprendizagem em ambientes virtuais: cenários de investigação na educação superior. *Educação, Formação & Tecnologias*. v. 10, n. 1, p. 47-58, 2017. ISSN 1646-933X.

PENG, M.; CHEN, X.; ZHAO, Q.; ZHOU, Z. Attentional scope is reduced by Internet use: A behavior and ERP study. *PLoS ONE*, v. 13, n. 6. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198543>.

REIS, C. P. S. S. et al. Tecnologias Digitais e Inovação Pedagógica na Educação Básica: Contribuições das Ferramentas Digitais para a Transformação das Práticas de Ensino e Aprendizagem. *Revista Tópicos*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 4, n. 34, p. 1-20, 2026. ISSN: 2965-6672. <https://doi.org/10.70773/revistatopicos/782197885>.

RISEMBERG, R. I. C.; WAKIN, M.; SHITSUKA, R. A importância da metodologia científica no desenvolvimento de artigos científicos. *E-Acadêmica*, 7(1), e0171675. 2026. <https://doi.org/10.52076/eacad-v7i1.675>.

SANTOS, J. J. dos; JESUS, S. N. de; SOUZA, E. A. de. Tecnologias no Processo Educacional: Transformações Pedagógicas e Desafios ao/no Ensino. *Revista ft. Ciências Humanas*, Volume 29 - Edição 140. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.69849/revistaft/fa10202411301844>.

SANTOS, S. M. A. V.; OLIVEIRA, D. V. de; DANTAS JUNIOR, F. E.; SILVA, G. V. DA; DEMUNER, J. A.; SILVA, K. K. de A.; SILVA, L. F. da; SILVA, M. A. M. Desafios e oportunidades: a adoção de tecnologias na educação e os obstáculos enfrentados pelos professores na era digital. *Caderno Pedagógico*, v. 21, n. 3, e3327, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.54033/cadpedv21n3-154>.

SCIPIÃO, L. R. de N. P.; MENEZES, D. B.; SANTOS, M. J. C. dos. Inovação pedagógica sob a perspectiva dos estilos de aprendizagem: uma revisão sistemática de literatura. *REMATEC*, Belém, v. 18, n. 43, p. e2023011, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.37084/REMATEC.1980-3141.2023.n43.pe2023011.id469>.

SILVA, M. P. da. No rastro dos conceitos de competência digital docente. *Texto Livre*, v. 18, e54501, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1983-3652.2025.54501>.

SIMÕES, R. D.; AROEIRA, K. P. (org.). *Docência e gestão de processos educativos*. Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ: Encontrografia Editora, 2024. E-book. ISBN: 978-65-5456-088-7. <https://doi.org/10.52695/978-65-5456-088-7>.

SOUSA, A. S. de; OLIVEIRA, G. S. de; ALVES, L. H. A pesquisa bibliográfica: princípios e fundamentos. *Cadernos da FUCAMP*, v. 20, n. 43, 2021.

TEODORO, F. C. A.; FREIRES, K. C. P.; SILVA, M. C. da; MARREIROS, E. C. do N.; AZEVEDO, L. F. A.; BARROSO, M. D. F.; GONSALVES, J. P.; SILVA, V. F. L. Desafios e perspectivas na utilização das tecnologias de informação e comunicação pelos professores em sala de aula. *Caderno Pedagógico*, v. 21, n. 5, e4066, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.54033/cadpedv21n5-099>.

VIANA, J. G. A Gestão Integrada e o Uso de Tecnologias Emergentes nas Escolas Públicas de Manaus. *Gênero e Interdisciplinaridade*, v. 5, n. 6, 2024.

¹ Mestrado em Ciências da Educação, UNADES, Paraguay

² Master's Degree in Management and Evaluation of Public Education. (UFJF/CAED).

³ Master's Degree in Climatology from the State University of Ceará (UECE).

⁴ Mestrado em Ciências da Educação Universidade Del Sol

⁵ Specialization in Geography Teaching. UniAteneu.

⁶ Doutor em Ciências da Educação pela Universidad del Sol.

⁷ Postgraduate Degree in Criminal Law from Uniateneu University
Center

⁸ Master's Degree in Public Policy Evaluation. Universidade Federal
do Ceará.

⁹ Master's Degree in Educational Sciences and Special Education
from the Portuguese Catholic University - UCP

¹⁰ Dr. in Biological Sciences (Cultural Title) – FICL; Master's degree in
Crop Science – UFC. E-mail: [acesse o artigo original para visualizar o
e-mail](#)