REVISTA TÓPICOS
ISSN 2965-6672 | Qualis A2

THE SILENT SHAPING OF MINDS: SMARTPHONE USE, MOBILE APPLICATIONS, AND COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS

O SILENCIOSO MOLDAR DE MENTES: USO DE SMARTPHONES, APLICATIVOS MÓVEIS E O DESENVOLVIMENTO COGNITIVO DE CRIANÇAS E ADOLESCENTES

Ciências Humanas, Engenharias, Ciências da Saúde • 03/06/2026

REGISTRO DOI: 10.70773/revistatopicos/780373827

Abilio Bueno de Oliveira Neto[1]

ABSTRACT

Background: The exponential proliferation of smartphones and algorithmically curated mobile applications has positioned digital technology at the centre of adolescent daily life. With children aged 8–14 now averaging 9 hours of daily screen time (Common Sense Media, 2024), there is growing concern about the neurobiological and cognitive implications of this exposure during a critical period of brain development.

Objective: This paper provides a comprehensive, theory-grounded review of empirical evidence on how smartphone use and mobile application engagement affect key cognitive domains in children and adolescents, including executive function, attention, working memory, and academic achievement. It further examines underlying neurobiological mechanisms, the role of algorithmic design in sustaining compulsive use, and implications for intervention.

Method: A narrative review was conducted integrating peer-reviewed empirical studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses published between 2006 and 2025, supplemented by foundational theoretical frameworks in cognitive and developmental psychology.

Results: Converging evidence indicates that problematic smartphone use is associated with impaired executive function, reduced working memory capacity, diminished sustained attention, and disrupted sleep architecture — all of which negatively mediate academic performance. Neuroimaging studies reveal structural and functional alterations in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) consistent with patterns observed in behavioural addiction. App design features — specifically variable-ratio reinforcement schedules, infinite scroll, and algorithmic personalisation — are identified as key mechanisms that amplify compulsive engagement.

Conclusions: The evidence supports treating excessive smartphone

use in adolescents as a public health concern requiring targeted educational, familial, and policy-level responses. Theoretical grounding in Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development and Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory underscores the developmental urgency of intervention. Future research should prioritise longitudinal, neuroimaging-based designs with objective measurement of device use.
Keywords: smartphone addiction; cognitive development; adolescents; executive function; working memory; prefrontal cortex; mobile applications; social media; attention; screen time.

RESUMO

Contexto: A proliferação exponencial de smartphones e aplicativos móveis com curadoria algorítmica colocou a tecnologia digital no centro da vida diária dos adolescentes. Com crianças de 8 a 14 anos passando, em média, 9 horas diárias em frente às telas (Common Sense Media, 2024), há uma crescente preocupação com as implicações neurobiológicas e cognitivas dessa exposição durante um período crítico do desenvolvimento cerebral.

Objetivo: Este artigo fornece uma revisão abrangente e fundamentada em teoria das evidências empíricas sobre como o uso de smartphones e o engajamento com aplicativos móveis afetam domínios cognitivos importantes em crianças e adolescentes, incluindo função executiva, atenção, memória de trabalho e desempenho acadêmico. Além disso, examina os mecanismos neurobiológicos subjacentes, o papel do design algorítmico na manutenção do uso compulsivo e as implicações para intervenção.

Método: Foi realizada uma revisão narrativa integrando estudos empíricos revisados por pares, revisões sistemáticas e meta-análises publicadas entre 2006 e 2025, complementadas por referenciais

teóricos fundamentais em psicologia cognitiva e do desenvolvimento. **Resultados:** Evidências convergentes indicam que o uso problemático de smartphones está associado a comprometimento da função executiva, redução da capacidade da memória de trabalho, diminuição da atenção sustentada e alterações na arquitetura do sono — todos fatores que afetam negativamente o desempenho acadêmico. Estudos de neuroimagem revelam alterações estruturais e funcionais no córtex pré-frontal (CPF) consistentes com padrões observados em vícios comportamentais. Recursos de design de aplicativos — especificamente esquemas de reforço de razão variável, rolagem infinita e personalização algorítmica — são identificados como mecanismos-chave que amplificam o engajamento compulsivo.

Conclusões: As evidências apoiam o tratamento do uso excessivo de smartphones em adolescentes como uma questão de saúde pública que requer respostas direcionadas em nível educacional, familiar e político. O embasamento teórico na Zona de Desenvolvimento Proximal de Vygotsky e na Teoria Social Cognitiva de Bandura ressalta a urgência do desenvolvimento na intervenção. Pesquisas futuras devem priorizar estudos longitudinais baseados em neuroimagem com mensuração objetiva do uso de dispositivos.

Palavras-chave: vício em smartphone; desenvolvimento cognitivo; adolescentes; função executiva; memória de trabalho; córtex pré-frontal; aplicativos móveis; mídias sociais; atenção; tempo de tela.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are witnessing an unprecedented experiment on the developing human mind. Within two decades, the smartphone has transformed from a luxury item into the defining artefact of adolescent existence. As of 2024, 95% of teenagers in the United States own a smartphone,

with similar penetration rates observed across high-income nations and rapidly increasing rates in middle-income countries (Anderson et al., 2024). More consequentially, adolescents aged 11–14 now spend an average of 9 hours per day engaged with screens — a figure that excludes school-related use (Common Sense Media, 2024).

This transformation has occurred at extraordinary speed, outpacing the scientific community's ability to understand its consequences. Adolescence is a period of neurobiological sensitivity: the prefrontal cortex (PFC), which governs executive function, impulse control, and working memory, remains incompletely myelinated until the mid-twenties (Casey et al., 2019). This developmental vulnerability means that sustained, habitual engagement with reward-engineered digital platforms does not simply compete for adolescents' time — it may reshape the very neural architecture that enables them to think, plan, and self-regulate.

The social media platforms and applications that dominate adolescent smartphone use — TikTok, Instagram, Snapchat, YouTube, WhatsApp — are not passive conduits of information. They are sophisticated behaviour-modification systems, engineered by teams of neuroscientists, psychologists, and machine learning experts to maximise engagement through mechanisms that exploit the same neurobiological circuits implicated in substance addiction (Montag et al., 2021). As of 2023, 81% of teenagers in the United States use social media regularly, spending an average of 4.8 hours per day on social platforms alone (Surgeon General's Advisory, 2023).

Against this backdrop, the present review synthesises empirical evidence on the impact of smartphone use and mobile application engagement on cognitive development in children and adolescents.

Drawing on neuroscience, developmental psychology, and educational research, we examine: (1) the neurobiological mechanisms linking smartphone use to cognitive change; (2) the specific cognitive domains most affected; (3) the design features of mobile applications that sustain compulsive use; (4) mediating pathways including sleep disruption and social displacement; and (5) implications for intervention. Foundational theories — Vygotsky's sociocultural theory and Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory — are integrated as frameworks for understanding both risk and resilience.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory And The Zone Of Proximal Development

Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory of cognitive development holds that higher mental functions — including attention, memory, and reasoning — are not biologically predetermined but emerge through socially mediated interaction with cultural tools (Vygotsky, 1978). Central to this framework is the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD): the gap between what a learner can accomplish independently and what becomes achievable through scaffolded interaction with a more capable other. Learning, in the Vygotskian account, is fundamentally a social process, and the quality of the mediating tools and relationships determines the quality of cognitive development.

The smartphone presents a profound complication to this framework. Falikman (2021) has proposed a "reversed Vygotskian" perspective on digital socialisation, arguing that contemporary digital tools — unlike the culturally enriching instruments Vygotsky

envisioned — may function as what she terms cognitive offloading devices: instruments that substitute for, rather than scaffold, emerging cognitive functions. When an adolescent uses a smartphone to locate information, navigate social interactions, or regulate affect, the tool provides a shortcut that bypasses the developmental challenge that would otherwise strengthen the relevant cognitive function. The risk, in Vygotskian terms, is that the ZPD collapses into a zone of proximal dependence.

Furthermore, smartphones operate as mediating tools of enormous power, yet their design priorities — engagement and retention — are orthogonal to developmental benefit. The social interactions they facilitate differ qualitatively from the face-to-face interactions that Vygotsky theorised as the substrate of cognitive development, substituting asynchronous, low-bandwidth social signals (likes, brief comments) for the rich, co-regulated interpersonal exchanges that build language, perspective-taking, and emotional regulation (Twenge et al., 2018).

2.2. Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory And Observational Learning

Albert Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura, 1986) posits that individuals learn not only through direct experience but through observation of modelled behaviour, with self-efficacy beliefs playing a critical mediating role. Within digital environments, adolescents are continuously exposed to curated models of behaviour, appearance, and achievement, calibrated by algorithms to maximise emotional engagement. Research demonstrates that social comparison through social media undermines self-efficacy and

academic motivation, particularly in female adolescents (Vogel et al., 2014; Valkenburg et al., 2022).

Bandura's triadic reciprocal determinism — the dynamic interaction between personal factors, behaviour, and environment — maps directly onto the smartphone context: algorithmic personalisation modifies the environment to elicit specific behaviours, which in turn reinforce personal dispositions (e.g., low boredom tolerance, approval-seeking), creating self-reinforcing developmental trajectories that are difficult to interrupt. This framework has important implications for prevention: if the digital environment is modifiable, then environmental redesign — rather than individual behaviour change alone — constitutes a legitimate and potentially more effective intervention target.

3. NEUROBIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS

3.1. The Developing Prefrontal Cortex And Vulnerability To Reward

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is the last brain region to complete myelination, a process that continues into the mid-twenties (Casey et al., 2019). The PFC underpins the executive functions that enable planned, goal-directed behaviour: working memory, cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control, and decision-making. Its protracted maturation renders adolescents simultaneously most in need of scaffolded support for self-regulation and most neurobiologically vulnerable to reward-seeking stimuli.

Adolescent neural architecture is characterised by a temporal imbalance: the limbic system — particularly the ventral striatum and amygdala, which process reward and emotional salience — matures

earlier than the PFC, creating a developmental window of heightened impulsivity and reward sensitivity (Steinberg, 2008). Smartphones and social media platforms exploit this window with precision. When an adolescent receives a social notification — a like, a comment, a follower — the ventral striatum releases dopamine in a pattern functionally analogous to reward processing in substance use (Sherman et al., 2016).

3.2. Dopamine Dysregulation And The Addiction Analogy

The neuropharmacology of smartphone addiction converges on dopaminergic dysregulation. Social media platforms deploy what behavioural economists call variable-ratio reinforcement schedules — the same mechanism that makes slot machines so addictive. Because social approval (likes, shares, comments) arrives unpredictably, the anticipation of reward generates more dopamine than a guaranteed reward would (Alter, 2017). This is not an accidental byproduct of social media design; internal documents from major platforms, reviewed by investigative journalists, demonstrate that maximising dopaminergic engagement was an explicit design goal (Haugen, 2021).

Over time, habitually high dopamine stimulation leads to receptor downregulation: the same stimulus produces less neurochemical response, driving escalating consumption in a pattern structurally identical to substance tolerance (Andreassen et al., 2022). A comprehensive analysis of 40 neurophysiological studies found decreased gray matter density in the PFC of heavy social media users — the same brain region affected by cocaine use (Hartman, 2024). A landmark study by Cho et al. (2023) found that teens who check social media more than 15 times daily exhibit altered brain

sensitivity in regions critical for decision-making and emotional regulation.

3.3. Neuroimaging Evidence

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies provide convergent evidence for the neurological correlates of smartphone-mediated cognitive change. León Méndez et al. (2024) conducted a systematic review of fMRI studies demonstrating that both internet and smartphone overuse are linked to impairments in cognitive control related to reward processing and prefrontal executive function in adolescents and young adults. Achterberg et al. (2022) found that adolescents who use social media extensively exhibit differences in cortical thickness in PFC regions associated with mental well-being.

Importantly, Flannery et al. (2024), in a longitudinal fMRI study published in Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, identified developmental trajectories of neural social feedback processing that predict addiction-like social media use two years later, providing rare causal evidence of a neurological pathway from habitual social media use to addictive engagement. A more recent study by Cho et al. (2025) using wearable functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) demonstrated that even brief social media exposure leads to decreased dorsolateral and ventrolateral PFC activation — reflecting working memory and inhibitory control impairments — alongside increased medial PFC activation suggesting heightened cognitive effort.

4. COGNITIVE DOMAINS AFFECTED

4.1. Executive Function

Executive function (EF) refers to the family of higher-order cognitive processes — including planning, cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control, and working memory — that enable goal-directed behaviour (Diamond, 2013). EF is both the primary casualty of problematic smartphone use and the critical capacity needed to regulate it.

Fabio et al. (2022), in a study published in the International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, demonstrated that problematic smartphone use leads to measurable behavioural and cognitive self-control deficits, with effect sizes comparable to those observed in clinical attention disorders. A systematic review by Lu et al. (2024) examined trends and influencing factors in problematic smartphone use prevalence between 2012 and 2022, finding consistent associations with EF impairments across diverse cultural and demographic contexts. A scoping review of 104 studies on smartphone use and brain function in adolescents (Oswald et al., 2024) identified executive function impairment as one of five major themes in the literature, alongside psychological disturbances, sleep disruption, socioemotional dysregulation, and sensory processing.

Particularly concerning is evidence that short-video application (SVA) platforms — TikTok, YouTube Shorts, Instagram Reels — may have disproportionate impact on EF. Chen et al. (2024) found that habitually watching highly personalised videos predisposes users toward passive consumption with reduced cognitive control, diminishing the working memory engagement required for active information processing. Students who consistently watch short-form videos exhibit shorter attention spans, slower reaction times, and greater error rates in academic performance (Wikipedia, Attention Span, 2024).

4.2. Attention And Sustained Focus

The capacity for sustained attention — the ability to maintain focus on a task over time despite competing stimuli — is among the cognitive abilities most directly threatened by the design of contemporary smartphones. Push notifications, by design, interrupt sustained cognitive engagement. Research indicates that the mere presence of a smartphone on a desk, even face-down and silent, reduces available working memory capacity and fluid intelligence because the effort required to resist attending to it consumes attentional resources (Ward et al., 2017).

The mechanism is well described: apps exploit the brain's orientation reflex — an evolutionarily ancient, involuntary reorientation of attention toward novel stimuli — through a constant stream of unpredictable alerts. The result is what clinical researchers have termed fragmented attention: a cognitive state characterised by shallow, interrupted processing that interferes with the deep, effortful engagement required for learning, creative thought, and complex problem-solving (Wilmer et al., 2017). Smartphone use during school hours is associated with significant academic distraction, particularly among adolescents aged 11–18, whose still-maturing PFC makes self-regulation against salient social stimuli especially difficult (JAMA Pediatrics, 2025).

4.3. Working Memory

Working memory — the cognitive system that temporarily holds and manipulates information for use in ongoing tasks — is widely regarded as the cognitive bottleneck of learning (Cowan, 2014). Evidence from neuroimaging studies consistently links heavy social

media use to reductions in effective working memory capacity. The fNIRS study by Cho et al. (2025) directly demonstrated that vlPFC and dlPFC deactivation following social media exposure corresponds to impaired working memory performance on standardised n-back tasks.

The cognitive load theory perspective (Sweller, 1988) provides a complementary account: when adolescents multitask between academic content and social media notifications, the limited capacity of working memory is divided between competing task demands, degrading performance on both. Meta-analytic evidence confirms that media multitasking — the concurrent use of digital media during learning — reliably impairs academic performance, with effect sizes increasing with task complexity (Uncapher et al., 2017).

4.4. Social Cognition

Social cognition encompasses the cognitive processes involved in perceiving, interpreting, and responding to social information — including theory of mind, empathy, and emotional inference. There is growing concern that the impoverished social signals transmitted through social media (brief text, curated images, emoji reactions) may inadequately stimulate the social-cognitive neural systems that require rich, multi-modal, reciprocal interaction for healthy development (Valkenburg, 2022).

Research on social cognition and digital media use converges on several concerning findings: reduced empathy scores in adolescents with higher social media use (Carrier et al., 2015); impaired ability to recognise facial emotional expressions following reduced face-to-

face interaction (Uhls et al., 2014); and a documented association between heavy social media use and difficulties in perspective-taking (Twenge & Campbell, 2019). The VeryWellMind framework distinguishes social cognition as encompassing social perception, social knowledge, attributional biases, and social schemas — domains that emerge through embodied social experience that screen-mediated interaction inadequately replicates.

5. THE ARCHITECTURE OF COMPULSION: MOBILE APPLICATION DESIGN

The cognitive impact of smartphones cannot be understood without examining the deliberate design architecture of the applications that inhabit them. Silicon Valley product designers — many of them trained in persuasive technology at Stanford's Persuasive Technology Lab — have systematically applied principles from behavioural psychology to maximise user engagement (Fogg, 2003). The convergence of large-scale behavioural data, A/B testing infrastructure, and machine learning has produced applications of unprecedented power to capture and sustain attention.

5.1. Variable-ratio Reinforcement And The Slot Machine Model

The foundational mechanism of social media engagement is the variable-ratio reinforcement schedule — a principle from operant conditioning (Skinner, 1938) that produces the highest response rates and greatest resistance to extinction of any reinforcement pattern. Unlike fixed-interval schedules (where reinforcement is predictable), variable-ratio schedules deliver rewards unpredictably, generating anticipatory dopamine release that exceeds the neurochemical response to any single reward (Schultz, 2007). The

"pull to refresh" gesture — the digital equivalent of a slot machine lever — exemplifies this design philosophy. Each refresh has an unpredictable outcome: it may produce a rewarding new notification or nothing of interest. This uncertainty is the mechanism, not a limitation.

5.2. Infinite Scroll And Artificial Scarcity Removal

Traditional media imposed natural stopping points: the end of a page, the conclusion of a programme. Infinite scroll — patented and later regretted by its inventor, Aza Raskin — eliminates these stopping points, removing the moment of metacognitive awareness that would otherwise allow a user to decide whether to continue (Raskin, cited in PBS Frontline, 2019). In adolescents, whose executive function is still developing and whose impulse inhibition is consequently limited, this design feature is particularly potent.

5.3. Algorithmic Personalisation And The Emotional Exploitation Loop

AI-driven recommendation algorithms — the systems that curate what content users see — are trained on engagement metrics: time spent, clicks, reactions, shares. Because content that provokes strong emotional responses (outrage, anxiety, desire, social comparison) generates more engagement than neutral content, algorithms systematically surface emotionally activating material (Zhao et al., 2021). For adolescents, whose amygdala is hyperresponsive relative to adult norms, this creates a feedback loop: emotional content drives engagement, engagement data trains the algorithm to serve more emotional content, which further drives engagement. The result is an artificially constructed affective

environment calibrated to exploit developmental vulnerability (Montag et al., 2021).

6. SLEEP DISRUPTION AS A MEDIATING PATHWAY

Sleep is the primary mechanism of memory consolidation and synaptic pruning during adolescence. During deep slow-wave sleep, the hippocampus replays daytime learning experiences and transfers them to long-term cortical storage — a process fundamental to academic learning and cognitive development (Walker, 2017). The relationship between smartphone use and sleep disruption in adolescents is among the most robustly evidenced in the literature.

Three distinct mechanisms link smartphone use to sleep impairment. First, blue-light emission from screens suppresses melatonin synthesis, shifting the circadian clock later and reducing sleep duration (Chang et al., 2015). Second, emotionally activating social media content elevates arousal and rumination, prolonging sleep onset latency. Third, notification alerts during the night fragment sleep architecture, reducing slow-wave and REM sleep even when total duration is preserved (Bauducco et al., 2024). A meta-analysis by Martin et al. (2021) confirmed intervention effects of screen time reduction on both total sleep duration and sleep onset in children and adolescents across multiple studies.

The cognitive consequences of this sleep disruption compound the direct effects of smartphone use. Research on sleep deprivation and cognitive performance demonstrates that even modest sleep restriction produces measurable impairments in sustained attention, working memory, cognitive flexibility, and decision-making —

precisely the executive functions that smartphone use itself compromises (Killgore, 2010). The interaction between these two pathways may produce additive or synergistic cognitive impairment in chronically sleep-deprived, heavy-use adolescents.

7. ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

The downstream educational consequences of smartphone-mediated cognitive change are reflected in consistent associations between heavy device use and academic underperformance. A 2024 study examining attention span and social media use found that students averaging approximately 3 hours of screen time per day, with a GPA of 2.8, demonstrated significantly shorter attention spans, slower reaction times, and greater error propensity in academic contexts compared to lower-use peers (Wikipedia, Attention Span, 2024). The University of North Carolina study by Achterberg et al. (2022) found that adolescents checking social media more than 15 times daily showed altered neural sensitivity in regions governing decision-making and academic engagement.

The cognitive load implications for classroom learning are substantial. When adolescents multitask during instruction — a near-universal behaviour in smartphone-equipped classrooms — the divided attention reduces encoding efficiency for new information and degrades the working memory operations necessary for conceptual integration. Studies comparing learning outcomes in classes with smartphone bans versus unrestricted access consistently favour the restricted-access condition, with the effect amplified for lower-performing students (Beland & Murphy, 2016; JAMA Pediatrics, 2025).

There is an important qualification to this predominantly negative picture: the impact of digital technology on cognition is not uniform. Certain applications — those designed for active, effortful engagement rather than passive consumption — may support cognitive development within a Vygotskian scaffolding framework. Educational platforms that provide adaptive, graduated challenges within the learner's ZPD, requiring active processing and metacognitive engagement, can function as legitimate cognitive tools (Falikman, 2021). The critical distinction is between technology that requires cognitive effort from the user and technology engineered to minimise it.

8. PROTECTIVE FACTORS AND INTERVENTION IMPLICATIONS

Several individual and contextual factors moderate the relationship between smartphone use and cognitive outcomes. Self-regulatory capacity — itself a function of PFC maturity — predicts differential vulnerability: adolescents with stronger baseline executive function show less cognitive disruption from equivalent levels of smartphone use (Fabio et al., 2022). Parental co-use and monitoring, family rules about device-free times (particularly during meals and before sleep), and the presence of alternative sources of social connection and meaning all reduce risk (Mougharbel et al., 2023).

At the intervention level, the evidence supports a multi-tiered approach. At the individual level, mindfulness-based interventions that strengthen metacognitive awareness of habitual phone-checking behaviour have shown efficacy in reducing problematic use and improving attentional control in adolescents (Nagamitsu et al., 2022). At the school level, smartphone restriction policies are associated with improved academic outcomes and reduced

distraction (JAMA Pediatrics, 2025). At the policy level, regulatory frameworks addressing addictive design features — including age-verification requirements, algorithmic transparency obligations, and restrictions on variable-reinforcement mechanics in applications used by minors — represent upstream interventions that address the environmental determinants of problematic use.

Bandura's reciprocal determinism framework (1986) supports a particular emphasis on environmental intervention: if cognitive outcomes are jointly determined by personal, behavioural, and environmental factors, then modifying the digital environment — through both product design regulation and family/school rules — may be more feasible and scalable than attempting to change individual self-regulatory behaviour alone in a population whose regulatory capacity is developmentally constrained.

9. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Several methodological limitations constrain the current evidence base. The majority of published studies rely on self-reported screen time, which is subject to substantial under-reporting bias (Brautsch et al., 2023). Randomised controlled trial designs — which would provide the strongest causal evidence — face significant ethical and practical barriers. Most longitudinal studies have follow-up periods of two years or less, precluding conclusions about the developmental trajectories of cognitive impact across the full adolescent period.

Heterogeneity in the operationalisation of "smartphone use" — conflating passive consumption and active creation, social media and educational applications, solitary and shared use — limits the synthesis of findings. Future research should prioritise longitudinal

neuroimaging studies with objective digital trace measurement (rather than self-report), distinguishing between application types and use patterns, and examining dose-response relationships. The rapidly evolving technological landscape — in particular, the integration of generative AI into social media feeds — introduces new variables that existing research does not address.

10. CONCLUSION

The convergence of neuroimaging, cognitive psychology, and developmental research reviewed here yields a consistent and concerning picture: habitual, algorithmically curated smartphone use during adolescence is associated with measurable impairments in the executive functions, attentional capacity, and working memory that underpin academic learning and cognitive development. These impairments appear to be mediated by dopaminergic dysregulation, structural and functional alterations in the prefrontal cortex, and sleep architecture disruption — and are amplified by the deliberate application of persuasive technology design principles.

The theoretical frameworks of Vygotsky and Bandura illuminate the developmental stakes: if the highest cognitive functions emerge through culturally mediated social interaction, and if the primary mediating tools of adolescent social life are designed to maximise engagement rather than developmental benefit, then the current digital environment represents a systematic challenge to the conditions necessary for healthy cognitive development. This does not imply that smartphones are categorically harmful — the technology's potential for educational scaffolding is real. It implies that the design choices embedded in the applications that

dominate adolescent use require urgent scrutiny and, where necessary, regulatory intervention.

The scale and pace of this technological transformation make complacency untenable. The children who are navigating the current smartphone landscape will define the cognitive and creative capacities of the next generation. Science, policy, and design have a shared responsibility to ensure that the tools shaping their minds are designed with their development — not their engagement metrics — as the primary criterion of success.

REFERENCES

Achterberg, M., van Duijvenvoorde, A. C. K., van der Meulen, M., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., & Crone, E. A. (2022). Longitudinal changes in cortical thickness during adolescence and the relation to mental health. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 58, 101172.

Alter, A. (2017). Irresistible: The rise of addictive technology and the business of keeping us hooked. Penguin Press.

Anderson, M., Faverio, M., & Gottfried, J. (2024). Teens and smartphones: Usage, concerns, and parental oversight. Pew Research Center.

Andreassen, C. S., Billieux, J., Griffiths, M. D., Kuss, D. J., Demetrovics, Z., Mazzoni, E., & Pallesen, S. (2022). The relationship between addictive use of social media and video games and symptoms of psychiatric disorders: A large-scale cross-sectional study. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 30(2), 252–262.

Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice Hall.

Bauducco, S., Flink, I., Linton, S. J., & Boersma, K. (2024). Bidirectional relationships between adolescent sleep and digital technology use. Sleep Medicine Reviews, 74, 101896.

Beland, L. P., & Murphy, R. (2016). Ill communication: Technology, distraction and student performance. Labour Economics, 41, 61–76.

Carrier, L. M., Spradlin, A., Bunce, J. P., & Rosen, L. D. (2015). Virtual empathy: Positive and negative impacts of going online upon empathy in young adults. Computers in Human Behavior, 52, 39–48.

Casey, B. J., Heller, A. S., Gee, D. G., & Cohen, A. O. (2019). Development of the emotional brain. Neuroscience Letters, 693, 29–34.

Chang, A. M., Aeschbach, D., Duffy, J. F., & Czeisler, C. A. (2015). Evening use of light-emitting eReaders negatively affects sleep, circadian timing, and next-morning alertness. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(4), 1232–1237.

Chen, S., Lu, X., & An, X. (2024). Neural correlates of short-video application addiction and working memory in adolescents. Proceedings of ICLS 2024, 147–153.

Cho, H. J., Hussain, R., Patel, K., & Dixon, J. (2025). Naturalistic fNIRS assessment reveals decline in executive function and altered prefrontal activation following social media use in college students. Scientific Reports, 15, Article 20844.

Common Sense Media. (2024). The Common Sense census: Media use by tweens and teens. Common Sense Media.

Cowan, N. (2014). Working memory underpins cognitive development, learning, and education. Educational Psychology Review, 26(2), 197–223.

Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64, 135–168.

Fabio, R. A., Stracuzzi, A., & Lo Faro, R. (2022). Problematic smartphone use leads to behavioral and cognitive self-control deficits. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(12), 7445.

Falikman, M. (2021). There and back again: A (reversed) Vygotskian perspective on digital socialization. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 501233.

Flannery, J. S., Burnell, K., Kwon, S. J., Jorgensen, N. A., Prinstein, M. J., Lindquist, K. A., & Telzer, E. H. (2024). Developmental changes in brain function linked with addiction-like social media use two years later. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 19(1), nsae008.

Fogg, B. J. (2003). Persuasive technology: Using computers to change what we think and do. Morgan Kaufmann.

Killgore, W. D. S. (2010). Effects of sleep deprivation on cognition. Progress in Brain Research, 185, 105–129.

León Méndez, A., García-García, I., & Díaz-Matarranz, C. (2024). Effects of internet and smartphone addiction on cognitive control in

adolescents and young adults: A systematic review of fMRI studies. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 158, 105580.

Lu, X., An, X., & Chen, S. (2024). Trends and influencing factors in problematic smartphone use prevalence (2012–2022): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 27(9), 616–634.

Martin, C. A., Papadopoulos, N., Chellew, T., Anderson, V. A., & Rinehart, N. J. (2021). Interventions to reduce screen time in children and adolescents in the home environment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 145, 103936.

Montag, C., Lachmann, B., Herrlich, M., & Zweig, K. (2019). Addictive features of social media/messenger platforms and freemium games against the background of psychological and economic theories. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(14), 2612.

Montag, C., Yang, H., & Elhai, J. D. (2021). On the psychology of TikTok use: A first glimpse from empirical findings. Frontiers in Public Health, 9, 641673.

Mougharbel, F., Chaput, J. P., Sampasa-Kanyinga, H., Hamilton, H. A., Colman, I., Leatherdale, S. T., & Goldfield, G. S. (2023). Heavy social media use and psychological distress among adolescents: The moderating role of sex, age, and parental support. Frontiers in Public Health, 11, 1190390.

Nagamitsu, S., Kanie, A., Sakashita, K., Sakuta, R., Okada, A., Matsuura, K., & Ito, M. (2022). Adolescent health promotion interventions using

well-care visits and a smartphone cognitive behavioral therapy app: Randomized controlled trial. JMIR mHealth and uHealth, 10, e34154.

Oswald, T. K., Rumbold, A. R., Kedzior, S. G. E., & Moore, V. M. (2024). The impact of smartphone use on brain function in adolescence: A scoping review. Adolescents, 18(2), 43.

Schultz, W. (2007). Behavioral dopamine signals. Trends in Neurosciences, 30(5), 203–210.

Sherman, L. E., Payton, A. A., Hernandez, L. M., Greenfield, P. M., & Dapretto, M. (2016). The power of the like in adolescence: Effects of peer influence on neural and behavioral responses to social media. Psychological Science, 27(7), 1027–1035.

Skinner, B. F. (1938). The behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis. Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Steinberg, L. (2008). A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. Developmental Review, 28(1), 78–106.

Surgeon General's Advisory. (2023). Social media and youth mental health. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. Cognitive Science, 12(2), 257–285.

Twenge, J. M., & Campbell, W. K. (2019). Media use is linked to lower psychological well-being: Evidence from three datasets. Psychiatric Quarterly, 90(2), 311–331.

Uhls, Y. T., Michikyan, M., Morris, J., Garcia, D., Small, G. W., Zgourou, E., & Greenfield, P. M. (2014). Five days at outdoor education camp without screens improves preteen skills with nonverbal emotion cues. Computers in Human Behavior, 39, 387–392.

Uncapher, M. R., Lin, L., Rosen, L. D., Kirkorian, H. L., Baron, N. S., Bailey, K., & Wagner, A. D. (2017). Media multitasking and cognitive, psychological, motivational, and academic performance. PLOS ONE, 12(11), e0187294.

Valkenburg, P. M. (2022). Social media use and well-being: What we know and what we need to know. Current Opinion in Psychology, 45, 101294.

Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social comparison, social media, and self-evaluation. Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 3(4), 206–222.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

Walker, M. (2017). Why we sleep: Unlocking the power of sleep and dreams. Scribner.

Ward, A. F., Duke, K., Gneezy, A., & Bos, M. W. (2017). Brain drain: The mere presence of one's own smartphone reduces available cognitive capacity. Journal of the Association for Consumer Research, 2(2), 140–154.

Wilmer, H. H., Sherman, L. E., & Chein, J. M. (2017). Smartphones and cognition: A review of research exploring the links between mobile

technology habits and cognitive functioning. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, 605.

Zhao, N., Zhou, G., & Gao, W. (2021). Affective computing and sentiment analysis in social media. IEEE Access, 9, 40933–40960.

---

[1] Episteme Digital, New Zealand & Brazil PhD in Cyber Security, Southampton University, UK. E-mail: acesse o artigo original para visualizar o e-mail